AN ATLAS OF CURRENT AFFAIRS

î	11.00	12000.
	,	G.3 ·
Book INU.		10

12000

AN ATLAS OF CURRENT AFFAIRS

by

J. F. HORRABIN

LONDON
VICTOR GOLLANCZ LTD
14 Henrietta Street Covent Garden
1936

First published April 1934
Second impression May 1934
Third impression October 1934
Fourth impression (new and revised edition) September 1
Fifth impression (further revised) August 1936

	12000.
t	G.3 ·
Воск по.	10

Printed in Great Britain by
The Camelot Press Ltd., London and Southampton

PREFACE

NOONE can read a newspaper intelligently today without some background knowledge of world geography. And the ordinary reference atlas, which perforce aims at crowding as many facts as possible into a minimum of space without regard to particular events, is not perhaps the ideal source for such knowledge.

This book is not intended as a substitute for a reference atlas. Its purpose is to be merely a short and simple guide to key facts and key places in the world of to-day. The maps in it are accordingly exercises in "the art of leaving out." Each of them is designed to illustrate a particular point; not to set down every sort and kind of fact about the country or the area with which it deals. The reader is hereby urged to make his own marginal additions as and when his newspaper gives him additional information.

The maps have been grouped in seven main divisions—Europe, the Mediterranean area, the Far East, etc. But the world to-day is interdependent; and various cross-references will indicate the impossibility of studying any one problem *in vacuo*.

In common with all students of international affairs I am indebted to the maps and summaries of Dr. I. Bowman's *The New World*; and, for the

PREFACE

European section of this book, to Mr. and Mrs. Cole's invaluable Europe To-day.

I have to express my grateful thanks to Margaret McWilliams for her untiring assistance in collecting and collating material from a mountain of daily and weekly journals.

J. F. H.

Preface	page	5
ÉURO	PE	
I	The Treaty of Versailles	13
2	Germany's Western Frontier	15
3	Germany's Neighbours	17
4	Germany's Eastern Frontier: the "Corridor"	19
5	Russia's Post-War Losses	21
6	The Baltic States	23
7	Poland's Eastern Frontier	25
8	The Ukraine	27
9	Austria-Hungary's War Losses	29
10	Austria	31
11	The Little Entente	33
12	Hungary	35
13	Italy, Yugo-Slavia and the Adriatic	37
14	The Little Entente : (1) Yugo-Slavia	39
15	Nationalities in Yugo-Slavia	4 ^I
16	The Little Entente: (ii) Czecho- slovakia	4 3
17	The Little Entente: (iii) Rumania	45
18	Bulgaria	47

EUR	OPE—contd.	
19	Greece page	49
20	Minorities in Eastern and Central Europe	51
21	New European States	53
22	Inland States of Europe	55
23	Ireland	57
24	Disruption in Spain	59
25	Nationalities in Belgium	61
MEDI	TERRANEAN AND NEAR EAS	ST
26 •	Conflicting Interests in the Mediter- ranean	63
27	Turkey's War Losses	65
28	Turkey	67
29	British Interests in the Near East	, 69
30	France and the Western Mediter-	Ů
	ranean	71
31	Italy and the Red Sea	73
32	Abyssinia	75
33	The Conquests of Ibn Saud	77
3 4	Iraq: Oil and Communications	79
35	Jewish Settlements in Palestine	18
36	Iran: Oil and Railways	83

JAPAI	N AND THE FAR EAST	
37	The Powers in the Far East	age 85
38	The Empire of Japan	87
39	The Ways into China	89
40	The Lands of the Mongols	91
41	Japan and Russia	93
42	The Break-up of China	95
43	The Nanking Government	97
RUSSI	Ĩ A	
44	The New Russia	99
45	Political Divisions of the New Russia	t IOI
46	Political Divisions of European Russia	103
. 47	The Caucasus	105
48	Western Siberia and Turkestan	107
49	Nationalities in Central Asia	109
50	Central Asian Frontiers and Afghan- istan	- III
51	Far Eastern Russia	113
INDIA	A AND THE INDIAN OCEAN	1
52	Cross-Roads of the Far East: Singa-	
53	pore British Malaya	115 117
54	The Problem of the Indian States	119
55	India: the Communal Problem	121
56	Burma: Siam	123
57	Tibet	125
BA	9	_


AFRICA


- 58 Independent States in Africa page
- 59 Germany's Lost Possessions in Africa
- 60 Britain in Africa
- 61 The Rhodesias
- 62 The South African Protectorates
- 63 British East Africa
- 64 Liberia

AMERICA

- 65 The Negro Problem in the United
 States
- 66 The United States and the Caribbean
- 67 Cuba
- 68 Panama and Nicaragua
- 60 Rival Interests in the Pacific
- 70 "Yanqui Imperialismo" in Latin America
- 71 The Bolivia-Paraguay War
- 72 Bolivia
- 73 Nationalities in South America
- 74 Newfoundland

Index





THE TREATY OF VERSAILLES


ANY STUDY of present-day international problems in Europe must begin with the Peace Treaties of 1919. These Treaties made territorial changes in Europe greater than any that had occurred for centuries. They were professedly designed, in accordance with the ideals of President Wilson, to make frontiers coincide more nearly with nationalities. But in so doing they frequently ignored the economic realities of the twentieth-century world.

Let us begin with the Treaty of Versailles, "the corner-stone of the present European political structure."

On her western frontier Germany had to cede the districts of Eupen and Malmédy to Belgium, and the provinces of Alsace and Lorraine to France. In addition, the Saar Basin, bordering on Lorraine, was placed under the administration of a League of Nations Commission for 15 years. (The plebiscite of the inhabitants taken in February, 1935, gave a 90.08 per cent vote for return to Germany.)

In the north, Germany ceded part of Schleswig to Denmark (which had been neutral in the war).


On the east, Memel Land, to the north of East Prussia, was at first placed under League of Nations control, but, later (1923), handed by the Allied Powers to Lithuania; the major part of the provinces of West Prussia and Posen went to form part of the new state of Poland; as did also part of Upper Silesia (the exact area of this last being decided by plebiscite later). A further small portion of Silesia was allotted to Czechoslovakia.



GERMANY'S WESTERN FRONTIER


The problem of Germany's western frontier is essentially the problem of an area economically and geographically a unit, but divided by national frontiers which bear no relation to the basic economic facts of the present day. The Rhine, its tributary the Moselle, and the Meuse and Scheldt rivers are the natural lines of communication in the great coal and iron area of northern France, Belgium, the Ruhr, the Saar and Lorraine. There is no natural frontier anywhere in this region; and, as a matter of fact, of course, frontiers here have been constantly shifting for centuries, long before the days of coal and iron. The Rhine itself is now, on one bank at least, a French river for the 100 miles from Basle to beyond Strasbourg. Then for some 300 miles it is German: while its mouth lies in Holland. The only possible ultimate solution of the problems of this region would seem to be the entire abolition of national frontiers, and its organisation as a single economic area.

The Rhineland, the territory lying between the left bank of the Rhine and the Franco-Belgian frontier, was made a demilitarised zone by the Versailles Treaty. In the spring of 1936 it was reoccupied by German troops.



GERMANY'S NEIGHBOURS

 ${
m The\ declared\ Nazi\ aim\ of\ uniting\ under\ the}$ flag of the Fatherland all the Germans of Central Europe-Hitler himself has spoken vaguely but provocatively of realising Germany's "ideal frontiers "-is inevitably a cause of considerable alarm to Germany's less powerful neighbours. There are German minorities in Holland, Switzerland, Czechoslovakia, Hungary, Rumania, Poland and Lithuania. In addition, of course, Austria is a German state. Nazi propaganda, in some cases backed by organised Nazi parties, has been extensively carried on in many of these countries. The present tendency of German foreign policy is apparently to accept the status quo on the Western frontier, while regarding such questions as the position of Memel and Danzig, and the Polish Corridor, as matters for early rectification. Nazi spokesmen have also enlarged upon the possibility of detaching the Ukraine from the U.S.S.R.—whether as a subsidiary German state, or as the price to be paid to Poland for the retrocession of the Corridor to Germany, is not clear.





RUSSIA'S POST-WAR LOSSES

Russia, although not one of the defeated central powers, lost very considerable territories in Europe after the war. As a result of the revolution she was not represented at Versailles and the victorious Allies proceeded to confirm the establishment of certain new states created by the Treaty of Brest-Litovsk which, early in 1918, Germany had forced on Russia. In the north, Finland; then the old Baltic Provinces, which became Estonia, Latvia and Lithuania; an extensive area to Poland; and finally (though this was not ceded by the Treaty and has, in fact, never been ratified by Russia),1 the province of Bessarabia was seized by Rumania. It should be noted that Russia's Baltic coast line (i.e., her direct communication with northern Europe) was thus cut down to the relatively small strip north and west of Leningrad.

¹ The U.S.S.R. has however pledged itself to make no attempt to retake the province by force of arms.



THE BALTIC STATES

Since the Russian Revolution of 1905 there had been a Separatist Movement in the Russian Baltic provinces of Estonia, Livonia, and Courland. The Czarist Government, intent on the development of the important ports of Reval, Riga and Libau, used every kind of drastic method in its attempt to Russify the provinces. The whole area was in the occupation of the Germans by the beginning of 1918, when Lenin's Government signed the Treaty of Brest-Litovsk. Anxious to maintain the cordon sanitaire against Bolshevism, the Allies confirmed the German creation of the new states of Estonia (the old province of Estonia and the larger half of Livonia); Latvia (the rest of Livonia and the whole of Courland); and Lithuania (the province of Kovno and the greater part of the province of Vilna—see also next map). As the map shows, the ports of Reval and Riga should be (as they once were) the sea outlets and rail heads of very considerable areas of Western Russia.

Since their victory in the Saar plebiscite the German Nazis have concentrated on the re-gaining of Memel (cf. map 1). The trial by a Lithuanian court of German Memellanders for alleged treasonable conspiracy has greatly exacerbated relations between Lithuania and Germany. Meantime (Sept. 1934), the three Baltic states unified their foreign policy by a special treaty signed at Geneva.




POLAND'S EASTERN FRONTIER

THE SUPREME COUNCIL at Versailles originally fixed the eastern frontier of Poland on a line (cf. map) running roughly north and south from Brest-Litovsk with Polish Ukraine (Eastern Galicia) as an autonomous area under Poland's sovereignty; but in December 1920, after the Russo-Polish war of that year, the frontier was pushed much further eastward by the cession of very considerable additional areas of White Russia and Ukraine to Poland. The original line approximated to the eastern border of the predominantly Polish population. The new area contains large numbers of White Russians and Ukrainians, as well as Jews; and under Pilsudski's régime pogroms took place. Petitions for autonomy for Polish Ukraine (Eastern Galicia) have been presented to the League of Nations but the Council of the League has so far taken no action.

In 1920 also a Polish army raided Lithuania, seizing Vilna, the capital, and adding to Poland the "corridor" of territory north of the Niemen, thus driving a wedge between Lithuania and the U.S.S.R. The Lithuanians have never acquiesced in this particular piece of brigandage and have retaliated by closing the Niemen and the port of Memel to the Poles. The League of Nations has unsuccessfully attempted a solution of the dispute.


CA



THE UKRAINE

THE UKRAINE, the belt of territory inhabited by the Ukrainians (Ruthenians, or "Little Russians"), extends across south European Russia, eastern Poland and eastern Czechoslovakia, touching also Rumania (Bukovina and parts of Bessarabia). The Russian part of it was constituted an independent state by the Treaty of Brest-Litovsk (1918), was overrun by various "white" invaders and "nationalist" leaders after the Russian Revolution, was reconquered by the Red Armies in 1919-20, and in 1923 the Ukrainian Socialist Soviet Republic became a constituent member of the U.S.S.R. It is a vitally important part of the Soviet economic system, including as it does the most fertile agricultural land in Russia-the black earth belt; as well as the great coalfield of Donetz, the ironfield of Krivoi Rog, the important industrial centres of Kiev and Kharkov, and the great electrical generating station Dneiprostroi (cf. maps 44-46). Its coast, with the ports of Odessa, Rostov, and Novorossisk, is Russia's most important seaboard.


The Ukrainian Nationalist Movement now only exists among exiles in Western Europe and America.



AUSTRIA-HUNGARY'S WAR LOSSES

When the Austro-Hungarian monarchy was broken up by the 1919 Treaties 1 its population of 51 millions was divided up between seven states. 61 millions only were left within the frontiers of the new Austria, 8 millions in Hungary. Galicia, north of the Carpathians, went to Poland; Bohemia, Moravia and Northern Hungary formed the new state of Czechoslovakia. Eastern Hungary, with the province of Transylvania, went to Rumania; the Southern Tyrol and Istrian Peninsula to Italy, and Croatia, Dalmatia and Bosnia-Herzegovina were added to Serbia to form the kingdom of the Serbs, Croats and Slovenes, later called Yugo-Slavia. Austria and Hungary thus both became inland states; and the Danube, which for 700 miles of its upper course had been the main artery of a politically unified territory, now flows in that same area through four separate sovereign states.

 $^{^{1}\,\}mathrm{Treaty}$ of St. Germain with Austria ; Treaty of Trianon with Hungary.



AUSTRIA

THE NEW AUSTRIA consists of a capital city with a population of two millions, and attached to it a small, mainly mountainous, territory with another 41 million people. This is an obviously impossible disproportion between a capital city and its country, and the uneconomic character of this treaty-made arrangement has been made clear again and again during the past 14 years, when the League of Nations or various national banks have had to step in to save Austria from complete bankruptcy. The difficulties of the position were accentuated by the fact that the majority of the population of industrial and trading Vienna was militantly Socialist, while the peasants of the countryside were Catholic and Conservative. The population is 97 per cent German-speaking, of the same race, speech and culture as its neighbours of the north in the German Reich.

Austria's geographical position between Nazi Germany and Fascist Italy inevitably lays her open to powerful influences from north and south. Her independence is now fully guaranteed by Britain, France and Italy.


MAP II



THE LITTLE ENTENTE


T hree of the states created or enlarged by the break-up of the Austro-Hungarian Empire-Czechoslovakia, Yugo-Slavia and Rumania¹—formed themselves soon after the war into the Little Entente. In February, 1933, they made between themselves a new and more binding Treaty, pledging themselves to follow a common foreign policy, setting up a permanent Council consisting of the three Ministers of Foreign Affairs, and establishing an Economic Council which would aim at the unification of their railways and of uniformity of customs duties. All three states are-naturally-implacably opposed to any revision of the Treaties, and a main point of their foreign policy has always been the prevention of any Hapsburg restoration in Hungary. They are all Danubian countries and, geographically, Hungary (as a glance at the map makes clear) occupies a key position in relation to them, since she controls the strip of the Danube and the main rail lines which link Czechoslovakia in the north with Yugo-Slavia and Rumania in the south.

¹ Cf. following maps.




HUNGARY

 ${f T}$ не new Hungary is a monarchy with a vacant throne, the nominal head of the Government being a Regent, Admiral Horthy. This is an outward and visible sign of Hungary's refusal to accept the decisions of the 1919 Treaties. Her Government has consistently attacked these Treaties and again and again declared its intention of altering their terms by force whenever opportunity may arise. Nearly a third of the total number of Magyars were left outside the new Hungarian frontiers-in Rumania (Transylvania), along the southern borders of Czechoslovakia, and in Yugo-Slavia (the Banat). The demands of Hungarian spokesmen include, as well as an extension of Hungary's frontiers to bring in the Magyars of Czechoslovakia and the Banat, the setting up of an autonomous Transylvania, and plebiscites to decide the future of the Croats in Yugo-Slavia, the Austrians of the Burgenland and the Ukrainians of eastern Czechoslovakia.



ITALY, YUGO-SLAVIA AND THE ADRIATIC


ITALY is brought into opposition to the Little Entente from her desire for complete control of the Adriatic and her consequent hostility to Yugo-Slav development on the Dalmatian coast. By the Treaty of 1919 Italy gained the Austrian port of Trieste and later seized Fiume. The Treaty of Rapallo (1920) gave her the port of Zara and the island of Lagosta. She also exercises a virtual protectorate over Albania, which was made an independent state after the Balkan War of 1913, largely in order to keep Yugo-Slavia (then Serbia) from the Adriatic coast-line which she has since attained. The Treaty of Tirana (1926) gave Italy the right of intervention in Albanian affairs. The harbour of Valona, immediately opposite the heel of the Italian "boot," is obviously of first-class strategic importance to Italy. A Society for the Economic Development of Albania is controlled by Italian banks, and military roads have been constructed right up to the Yugo-Slav frontier. The position of Albania in relation to Italy and the Adriatic Sea may appropriately be compared to that of Cuba in relation to the United States and the Caribbean. Italian-Yugo-Slav relations have considerably improved since the rapprochement between Italy and France.



THE LITTLE ENTENTE:

(i) YUGO-SLAVIA

 ${f T}$ HE NEW STATE of Yugo-Slavia has realised the old Serbian dream of an Adriatic coast-line; but the advantages of this are severely limited by certain geographical facts. Western Yugo-Slavia is mountainous and there is thus a formidable barrier between the interior of the country and the coast-line. Of the two main railways which cross it, the northern branch leads to Fiume, now in Italian hands, (the Yugo-Slavs have been allotted the suburb of Susak); the southern branch going to Split (Spalato.) The country's main river system, the Danube, and its tributaries, the Save, Drave, and Morava, also lead eastwards, away from the Adriatic. The Drin Valley, a means of access through south central Yugo-Slavia, runs through Albania and hence is blocked by Italian opposition. The Vardar Valley, running south to the Aegean, is closed by Greece's possession of Salonika




NÄTIONALITIES IN YUGO-SLAVIA

Yugo-Slavia, the Kingdom of the Serbs, Croats and Slovenes, was in 1919 formed out of the old Serbia (which had already been extended down the Vardar valley after the Balkan Wars, 1912–13) plus the Slavonic provinces of Austria-Hungary (Croatia-Slavonia, Bosnia and Herzegovina) and the Kingdom of Montenegro. From the outset the Serbs tended to regard these other areas as subordinate provinces, to be administered by a centralised government at Belgrade; an attitude resolutely opposed by the National Committees of the ex-Austrian provinces. The Croats in particular—more industrialised and urbanised than the Serbs (they are also Catholics while the Serbs are of the Orthodox Church)—demanded autonomy.

Years of internal struggle were cut short by the late King Alexander's coup d'etat in 1929, and the establishment of a new constitution based on a specially designed "revised" democracy with only one National party, and the monarch as dictator. The Regency set up after the assassination of Alexander has to face the problem of reconciling Croatian claims with the unity of the Yugo-Slavian state. (See also map 18, Bulgaria, for the Macedonian question.)

DA 41




THE LITTLE ENTENTE: (ii) CZECHOSLOVAKIA

By far the most industrially developed (and democratic) of the three countries of the Little Entente is Czechoslovakia. With the exception of a small district of Upper Silesia ceded by Germany, it is formed entirely out of territory which was formerly part of Austria-Hungary; including, in Bohemia, the most densely populated region of the Empire. Between 60 and 70 per cent of the total population are Czechs and Slovaks; 20 per cent are Germans (the Nazi Party made sensational gains in the elections of May 1935); while Magyars and Ruthenians make up close on 10 per cent.

The province of Ruthenia (at the extreme east of the country—it is further away from Prague than is Hamburg) is neither Czech nor Slovak, and was added to Czechoslovakia in order to provide territorial contact with Rumania, and complete the ring round Hungary. As this area consisted mainly of the great estates of Magyar nobles, its inclusion in Czechoslovakia forms one of the bitterest complaints of the Hungarian governing class. Its inhabitants are peasants, with a quite different standard of living to that of the majority of the workers of the rest of the country.

(Cf. map 22 for Czechoslovakia's communications with the sea.)




THE LITTLE ENTENTE: (iii) RUMANIA

Rumania, which more than doubled its size and population after the War—and which naturally, therefore, is fervently opposed to any revision of Treaties—is predominantly a nation of peasants. It includes very large racial minorities, including half-a-million Ukrainians, the same number of Germans and of Jews, more than a million Magyars, over 200,000 Bulgars, and nearly the same number of Turks and Tartars. The Ukrainians are for the most part inhabitants of Bessarabia, the Russian province which Rumania seized in 1919 (cf. map 5), and of Bukovina, before the War a crown province of Austria. The Magyars occupy considerable areas of Transylvania, and there are numbers of them also in the Banat.

The southern part of the Dobrudja was taken by Rumania from Bulgaria after the second Balkan War (1913). This area is Bulgarian and Turkish in population. The Jewish question, which has had a long history in Rumania, recently flared up again with the growth of a Fascist movement deriving its inspiration largely from Nazi ideas.

Rumania's chief exports (when the state of world trade makes exports possible) are wheat and oil. The principal oilfields lie along the southern slopes of the Transylvanian Alps.




BULGARIA

Bulgaria has been described as "the Hungary of the Balkans." Like Hungary, she wants Treaty revision; and she has double cause for resentment since, as well as 1918, she remembers 1913, when the fruits of the wars in which Turkey was defeated by the Balkan allies went in the main to her neighbours. In 1912 she was the most powerful of the Balkan states. In 1918 she stood alone, weakest of them all. In 1913 she had to acquiesce in Serbia's acquisition of Macedonia, while Greece occupied Salonika, and Rumania, in the north, took the southern Dobrudja. She did indeed gain a foothold on the coast of the Aegean; but in 1918 this also was lost.

Her foreign policy since the War has been largely dictated by the Macedonian "irredentist" organisation whose dominant idea is a permanent bloodfeud with Yugo-Slavia. But it is probable that fear of the "Drang nach Osten" aims of a Nazi Germany may encourage a more conciliatory attitude on the part of her neighbours of the Little Entente.

The population of Bulgaria, like Rumania, is mainly peasant; and in both countries repressive measures against a bitterly discontented peasantry have been a feature of political life during the past few years. A somewhat bewildering succession of coups by Army officers and counter-coups by King Boris have resulted in the promise of a new constitution.



GREECE

GREEGE—ancient and modern—may be said to consist rather of the coasts and islands of a sea, the Aegean, than a single block of mainland territory. After the Balkan wars against Turkey (1012-13) she extended her hold on that sea by acquiring part of its northern coast-line, including Salonika. Following the Great War the Allies increased her hold again by carrying her territory right up to within a few miles of Constantinople; and established her on the eastern (Asia Minor) coast of the Aegean by giving her Smyrna and its hinterland, as well as several of the islands. Smyrna and Eastern Thrace were lost after her disastrous war with Turkey (1921-22). During recent years Greek Governments have established amicable relations with Turkey, beginning with the organised exchange (with League of Nations financial assistance) of their respective nationals from one territory to another, and culminating in an explicit Pact of Friendship (1933), which guaranteed the inviolability of common frontiers and provided for common action on all international questions.

Greek nationalist ambitions are still affronted by the Italian occupation of Rhodes (an important naval base) and the Dodecanese islands; and by Britain's continued hold on Cyprus (gf. map 26, "Mediterranean Problems").



MINORITIES IN EASTERN AND CENTRAL EUROPE

THE WAR and the Treaties, with the ensuing triumph of various small nationalities-previously minorities-enormously increased the dangers of the minorities problem in Central and Eastern Europe. An ethnographical map of the area shows a tangled patchwork of races and languages. The Treaties themselves, as well as certain special Minorities Treaties concluded by the victorious Allies with Poland, Czechoslovakia, Yugo-Slavia, Rumania and Greece, give League of Nations guarantees to minorities. In addition, certain states have entered into direct engagements with the League to observe similar minority rights. The conditions under which minorities may lodge a complaint with the Council of the League are, however, hedged about with many delays and formalities; and the League has accordingly but seldom intervened to question the "sovereign" rights of any state concerned. A case in point is Polish Ukraine (cf. map 7) which has several times unsuccessfully petitioned the League for the autonomy promised it under the original Treaty.


(N.B. (i) The map shows only the principal minorities in each case. (ii) The word "Ukrainian" covers the Ruthenians of Poland and Rumania.)



NEW EUROPEAN STATES

Note ven in those parts of the world where frontiers are arbitrarily set up or altered by all-conquering alien imperialisms did the War make greater changes than in Europe itself; where the Treaties of 1919 set up six new independent sovereign states. This was done ostensibly on the principle of nationality, though the "rights" of these smaller peoples, conveniently for the Allies, coincided with the need to break up defeated Powers—and guard against revolutionary ones. It will be noted that four of the six—Finland, Estonia, Latvia and Lithuania—and a large part of the fifth—Poland—were formerly Russian territory; while the sixth—Czechoslovakia—was part of pre-war Austria-Hungary.

The first five have access to the Baltic Sea, though Poland's coast-line was contrived by a territorial arrangement (the "Corridor") which has in it plentiful potentialities of further trouble. The sixth, Czechoslovakia, is a land-locked state whose waterway communication with the outer world must needs be by rivers (cf. next map).




INLAND STATES OF EUROPE

Before the War there were but two inland states in Europe—Switzerland and Serbia. The Treaties added three more—Austria, Czechoslovakia and Hungary. (And it should be noted that Russia's western coast-line was cut down to the small strip on the Gulf of Finland.)

Waterway communications being essential to a state, certain of the main rivers of Europe took on a new—and international—importance. Chief of these are the Rhine, Elbe and Oder, all largely German rivers; and the Danube which, though it rises in German territory, is a waterway for the countries lying to the south of Germany. All these rivers are now subject to some measure of international control. Thus Switzerland has been given special rights of navigation on the Rhine, and Czechoslovakia on the Elbe and Oder (Hamburg, at the mouth of the former being Czechoslovakia's chief northern port of export).

The Danube is under the control of a European Commission consisting—in accordance with the bad old principle of intervention by the great Powers in Balkan affairs—of four national delegates, only one of them from a Danubian country—Rumania; the others representing Britain, France and Italy.




IRELAND

The division of Ireland into two separate areas, the Irish Free State and Northern Ireland, was an attempt to solve a particularly obstinate "minorities problem." The Protestant minority of the north-east corner refused to join in the demand of the Catholic-Nationalist majority for separation from Great Britain. They were accordingly given a Parliament of their own, as well as representation in the British Parliament. The Free State was accorded dominion status, but the right of secession from the Empire was denied to it.

Ireland's economic problems are aggravated by these fierce political nationalisms and anti-nationalisms. In the first place, nearly a third of the total population and the most important industrial area is cut off from the Free State. In the second, the Free State Government's main problem—of providing (particularly now that emigration to America has been virtually stopped) for the needs of a growing population in a preponderantly agricultural stateis rendered still more difficult by a continuance of the historic quarrel with Britain and the consequent loss of the British market for Irish exports. Mr. de Valera's plan is to make Ireland a self-contained and self-sufficient economic unit. To do this he proposes to break up the big farms and cattle-ranches of the centre and south, and hand over half-a-million acres to peasant farmers. "Land hunger" still remains the dominant fact in internal Irish politics.


Ea



DISRUPTION IN SPAIN

The division of the Iberian Peninsula into river valleys and plateaux separated by high mountain barriers has always operated against unity in Spain; and in the political turmoil which preceded and has followed the ending of the Spanish monarchy and the establishment of a republic, various separatist movements have played their part. The Basques in the north and the Catalans on the eastern (Mediterranean) coast have aimed at, and to a greater or less extent achieved, some degree of independence.


But the separatist movements have more recently been merged in the struggle of social forces for dominance in Spain. The bourgeois republic failed either to solve the grievances of the mass of the peasantry, or to wrest political and economic power from the land-owning aristocracy. A compromise between the Church, the aristocrats and the bourgeoisie resulted in a Government which ignored separatist claims, and crushed a working-class revolt in the Asturias with unprecedented savagery. The more recent electoral victory of the Popular Front has led to armed counter-revolution, led by ecclesiastics and Army officers, with legionaries and native troops from Morocco imported to aid them in their effort to put down constitutional government in the Peninsula.



NATIONALITIES IN BELGIUM


THE QUARREL between the Walloons, or Frenchspeaking Belgians, and the Flemings, whose language is a version of Dutch, was embittered during the War years when some of the Flemish leaders worked with the Germans, then occupying Belgium, to form an independent Flemish state under German protection. This action on the part of a small group led the Walloons afterwards to accuse the Flemings in general of disloyalty to the Belgian state. The dispute was recently revived when the question of the reappointment of government officials who had been dismissed for "unpatriotic conduct" during the War resulted in an acute division within the Belgian Cabinet itself. The Flemings have been successful in securing official recognition for the Flemish tongue, and the University of Ghent has been "Flammandised "

The map also illustrates a problem which was for some time the source of some friction between Holland and Belgium—the control of the left bank of the Scheldt. The present frontier puts the Dutch on both sides of the river estuary, and for the greater security of the port of Antwerp the Belgians demanded that this should be altered (f. map 2).



CONFLICTING INTERESTS IN THE MEDITERRANEAN

Since the "opening-up" of Africa by the Powers and in particular since the cutting of the Suez Canal, the Mediterranean has become the centre of various competing national interests. To France, direct communication with her north African empire is vital. Italy, too, has her interests in Tripoli and-via Suez-in her colonial possessions on the Red Sea; she, too (as already noted, map 13), seeks undisputed control of the Adriatic. From west to east of the sea runs the British high-road to India, with its keypoints at Gibraltar, Malta, Cyprus, and Suez. Greece resents the Italian occupation of Rhodes and the Dodecanese islands, and the British occupation of Cyprus. Russia is concerned in the "balance of power" in the eastern Mediterranean, since the Straits (Bosporus and Dardanelles) leading from the Black Sea are the sea-outlet to her whole southern coast-line.




TURKEY'S WAR LOSSES

The Balkan Wars (1912–13) resulted in the ending of Turkish overlordship of other races in Europe. The settlement after the Great War ended also her rule over the Arabs of Syria, Mesopotamia and Arabia proper; as well as her suzerainty over Egypt. Turkey's population is now predominantly Turkish, her only minority problem being that of the Kurds who dwell in the mountain country round about the head waters of the Tigris and Euphrates (and who extend also into northern Syria and Iraq).

Syria was made a French mandate; Palestine, Transjordania and Iraq, British (Iraq later attaining independence). The patchwork of Arab kingdoms, emirates and chieftainships set up—or bolstered up—by the Allies further south has been considerably modified by the conquests of Ibn Saud (f. map 33).


The post-war treaties made the Straits (Bosporus and Dardanelles) a demilitarised zone; but by a new agreement made in 1936 Turkey resumes her sovereign rights in this area.



TURKEY


AFTER HAVING FOUGHT four wars in ten years -against Italy (in Tripoli) 1911-12, against the Balkan Allies, 1912, as one of Germany's allies in the Great War, 1914-18, and against Greece, 1921-22-Turkey has since settled down, under the dictatorship of Mustapha Kemal, to peace through a mainly isolationist policy. Confined to Constantinople and its hinterland in Europe and to the vast uplands of the Anatolian Plateau, Kemal has resolutely worked for the internal development of Turkish territory. His plans include large schemes of road and rail development, including a Trans-Anatolian railway connecting the port of Samsoun on the Black Sea with Mersina on the Mediterranean, and another line which would connect Angora with the Russian frontier. (Several of the lines shown in the map as "under construction" are now practically completed.) Ever since the War the new Turkey has enjoyed the friendliest relations with the U.S.S.R. and more recently has made an alliance with its western neighbour—and ex-enemy—Greece (cf. map 19, and, for Russian frontier, map 47).

N.B. By Government decree the name of Constantinople has now been changed to Istanbul.




BRITISH INTERESTS IN THE NEAR EAST

 T HE WHOLE BELT of territories bordering the eastern Mediterranean and lying between the Red Sea and the Persian Gulf are of vital concern to Britain since they command her most important. strategic highway-the short sea-way and air route to India and the Indian Ocean. It was this sea-route which was threatened by pre-war Germany's plans for a Berlin-Bagdad railway, an overland route running across Turkey to the Persian Gulf. And because of imperial Britain's interest in the inviolability of her main lines of communication, Egyptian independence cannot be absolute and Ibn Saud's approaches to Transjordan must be closely watched. The Turkish hold on this block of territory was, as we have already seen (cf. map 27), ended by the Great War. British dominance in this area is now threatened by the Italian conquest of Abyssinia, and the consequent strengthening of Italy's position at the southern end of the Red Sea.



FRANCE AND THE WESTERN MEDITERRANEAN

Though her Syrian mandate carries her interests into the eastern Mediterranean, France's main concern is the maintenance of uninterrupted communications, at the western end of that sea, with her African empire. With the completion of her conquest of Morocco (excepting for the small Spanish zone, and the international zone of Tangier) and the addition to her equatorial territories (by mandate) of the Cameroons, previously a German colony, France's African possessions extend from the Mediterranean coast nearly 3,000 miles southward, across the Sahara. And these vast territories are a source, not only of valuable raw materials, but of manpower. Madagascar, off the east coast of Africa, and Indo-China, in the Far East, are also French possessions; but here, in Western and Central Africa, are concentrated France's most important imperial interests, and the Mediterranean sea-link with them is consequently of the first importance to her.




ITALY AND THE RED SEA

ITALY'S AFRICAN POSSESSIONS previous to 1935 were Libya (Tripoli), a mainly desert area bordering Egypt; and Eritrea and Italian Somaliland, on the Red Sea and the Gulf of Aden. The position of the two last gave her a peculiar interest in the development of Abyssinia (see next map).

Late in 1935 the invasion of that country was begun, and within six months the Italian forces moving southward from Eritrea overcame all resistance and occupied the Abyssinian capital. Mussolini has now declared Abyssinia to be a part of the Italian empire, and the King of Italy has assumed the title of Abyssinian Emperor.


FA



ABYSSINIA

ITALIAN RELATIONS with Abyssinia dated from the time, 50 years ago, when Italy occupied Massawa, the nucleus of the colony of Eritrea, and established a protectorate over a part of what later became the colony of Italian Somaliland. Ten years later, in 1895, an Italian invading army was routed at Adowa by the forces of the Emperor Menelik.


The main fighting in the recent war of conquest took place in the northern area between Eritrea and the capital, Addis Ababa. Although some advance was made northward from the Italian Somaliland frontier, the greater part of the southern areas of the country are still (1936) uncontrolled if not actually unoccupied. An Abyssinian government is still in being in the mountain country in the extreme southwest.



THE CONQUESTS OF IBN SAUD

THE DISPOSITION of Arab territories after the Great War established in the north, as we have noted (cf. map 27), mandates divided between Britain and France. In Arabia proper the kingdom of the Hejaz (on the Red Sea coast) was to receive special British protection, and its ruler claimed overlordship of the rest of the peninsula. This arrangement was shattered by the rise to power of Ibn Saud, leader of the Wahabi sect of the Moslems and ruler of Neid, in the interior. Before the War Ibn Saud had already conquered Hasa, on the Persian Gulf, from the Turks. After the War he rapidly extended his power by taking the chieftainships of Hail and Jauf, to the north, and actually raiding Trans-Jordan; and Asir, on the Red Sea, south of the Hejaz. In 1924-25 he conquered the Hejaz itself, thus consolidating his power from west to east of Arabia. The Yemen (attacked 1934) north of Aden on the Red Sea, as yet remains independent of his rule; as do the Sultanate of Oman and the Hadramaut, to the south-both of them under British protection.

In 1927 a treaty was signed at Jidda between Britain and Ibn Saud, by which the former recognised the complete independence of Ibn Saud's dominions. The name of the latter was in 1932 changed from the "Kingdom of the Hejaz and Nejd" to the "Kingdom of Saudi Arabia."




IRAQ: OIL AND COMMUNICATIONS

Two factors have given peculiar importance to the new state of Iraq created by the post-war settlement which ended Turkish rule over the Arabs: oil and British imperial communications. The Kirkuk oilfield, in the vilayet of Mosul, has proved to be of first-rate importance. France fought hard for the inclusion of the Mosul area in her Syrian mandate; and has succeeded in her claim to a proportion of the oil produced, so that the great transdesert pipeline now completed has a branch leading to the port of Tripoli, in Syria. The British section links Kirkuk with Haifa, in Palestine.


The main British air-route to India and the east passes through Bagdad. Now, indeed, that the aeroplane has become a vital factor in imperial communications, the old land routes between the Mediterranean and the Indian Ocean, via Bagdad, have regained the importance they possessed before the discovery of the Cape route to the Indies.

The British mandate over Iraq ended in 1932, when Iraq became a full member of the League of Nations; but British air bases are still maintained in Iraq, and the Royal Air Force is a factor of considerable importance in the internal affairs of the country.



JEWISH SETTLEMENTS (N PALESTINE

British declarations during the Great War, on the one hand to the Jews-guaranteeing the establishment of a Tewish national home in Palestine -and on the other to the Arabs-promising the independence of all Arab territories—were difficult to reconcile: as the Mandatory Power in Palestine has since on several occasions discovered. The present population of Palestine is a little over a million, of whom 73 per cent are Moslems and 17 per cent Jews; this latter figure being rather more than double what it was before the British mandatory government was established. About one-third of the Jewish population is settled on the land. The Zionist Organisation, which under the Mandate is recognised as the official Tewish Agency for Palestine, directly controls many of these settlements, which are situated in the main along the coast between Jaffa and Acre, in the Esdraelon valley (south of Haifa-Nazareth), and near the Sea of Galilee. The main problem of the administration is, of course, that of absorbing new Jewish immigrants without adversely affecting the existing Arab populationa task of enormous difficulty, as persistent Arab revolts in various parts of the country have made clear.



IRAN—OIL AND RAILWAYS

Before the Great War the independent sovereign state of Persia (now officially re-named Iran) was divided into Russian (northern) and British (southern) spheres of influence. But even earlier certain British interests had secured extensive rights over the greater part of the country. The D'Arcy concession (1901), which was the beginning of the Anglo-Persian Oil Company's operations, gave exclusive rights to prospect for oil up to a line (shown in the map) running north-west and southeast from Tehran.

In 1932 the Persian Government cancelled the concession; which event was something of an international incident, since the British Government is a shareholder in the Anglo-Persian Co. A new agreement (June, 1933) revised the financial terms of the concession, and cut down the territory to be exploited by about half (the exact delimitation to be agreed upon later). Under the vigorous regime of Riza Shah Pahlevi Persia has also objected to the British protectorate over the Bahrein Islands in the Persian Gulf.

Persia is almost a rail-less country. Lines from Russia (Caucasus), Iraq and Baluchistan cross the frontiers to Tabriz, Kasr-i-Sirin and Duzdab respectively. A railway running northwards from the Persian Gulf, alongside the oil pipeline, is to be continued to the Caspian Sea.




THE POWERS IN THE FAR EAST

 ${f T}$ he Far Eastern problem is the problem of China. That vast country, with its hard-working millions of peasant farmers, would in all probability have lost its independence as and when India did but for its greater distance from Europe. It was the coming of the steamship which brought China "within range," and began the process of her dissolution. For the main ways into China were sea ways, a great mountain barrier cutting her off from the rest of Asia on the west, and Russia controlling the land approaches from the north. During the latter half of the 19th century and the earlier years of the 20th, the great colonial Powers steadily encroached upon her borders and established themselves, for purposes of trade, in "treaty ports" within her actual territory.

The map shows the grouping of the four main Powers: Japan—the "Power on the spot"—established on the mainland in Korea and Manchukuo; the United States in the Philippines; Britain at Hong-Kong, commanding the southern (Canton) gateway into China, and at Singapore, nearly 1,500 miles to the south; France in Indo-China. Russia, which in Czarist days had a special sphere of interest in Manchuria, is now cut off from direct contact with China by the Japanese occupation of that country.

¹ The position here is somewhat modified by the grant of independence (for economic reasons) to the Philippines; but the U.S. retains control of foreign policy, and will presumably continue to regard the islands as an American sphere.



THE EMPIRE OF JAPAN


At the time when the great non-Asiatic Powers began to intervene in Far Eastern affairs the island empire of Japan was still a mediæval feudal state. Within a generation she had remodelled her social system on European capitalist lines and equipped herself with the armaments which were the obvious hall-mark of western civilisation.

The map illustrates her steady growth since she first took part in the race for "expansion." The island of Formosa was acquired after her war with China, 1894–95; Port Arthur and the southern half of Sakhalin Island after the Russo-Japanese war, 1904–5. Korea, whose independence she had professed to secure by the Chinese war, was annexed in 1910. Japan was now established on the mainland. And in the meantime she had been consolidating her position and "rights" in southern Manchuria.

After the Great War she was given the mandate for the ex-German Pacific islands north of the equator; the strategic importance of which is due to their position athwart the direct sea-route from the United States to the Philippines.

Her more recent expansion in Manchuria (now Manchukuo) is dealt with in succeeding maps.

¹ It is worth noting that the present status of Manchukuo, an "independent" state guaranteed by Japan—is precisely similar to that of Korea from 1895 to 1910.




THE WAYS INTO CHINA

CHINA'S most important lines of communication are her three great rivers: the Hwang-ho, or Yellow River in the north; the Yang-tse in the centre; and the Si-kiang in the south. The mouths of these rivers, commanding the main routes inland, are accordingly of first-rate strategic importance.

The southern entry is controlled by the British, at Hong-Kong. Shanghai, at the mouth of the Yangtse, is held jointly by all the alien Powers, with Britain and America predominating; the Yang-tse itself, navigable by gunboats for hundreds of miles inland, is in effect a foreign wedge driven into the very heart of China. The sea-way in the north is controlled by Japan, established first in Korea and now also in Manchuria.

The one practicable land route into China is that from the north; the route by which the Manchus entered the country three centuries ago, and for control of which Czarist Russia and Japan struggled in the years preceding the Great War. It is in this area that Japan has accomplished the most recent invasion of China.


GA 89



THE LANDS OF THE MONGOLS

EVER SINCE the Russo-Japanese War (1904-5) Japan has worked steadily to consolidate her position on the mainland, and to establish a barrier between Russian and Chinese territory. By the setting up of the "independent" state of Manchukuo in what was the northern Chinese province of Manchuria, Japan attained virtual control over enormous economic resources, as well as providing herself with a safe mainland base for further aggression, north, east, or south.


Japanese agents and troops have been active more recently in Inner Mongolia (to the east of Manchukuo). She already rules over some two million Mongols in the Hsingan province of Manchukuo. Of the three million other Mongols about a million live in Outer Mongolia—a territory half as large as the United States, but mostly desert; another million in Inner Mongolia; while about a million are scattered in Chinese Turkestan, in the Kokonor province of Tibet, and in the Buriat Republic of Asiatic Russia.



JAPAN AND RUSSIA

The Japanese hold on Manchuria is a serious threat to Russia's communications with Eastern Siberia and its Pacific outlet, Vladivostok (see also map 50). The Trans-Siberian railway runs north of the Amur river. In 1896 Czarist Russia made an agreement with China by which a shorter rail route to Vladivostok was to run across northern Manchuria. This was the famous Chinese Eastern Railway. After the Revolution the Soviet Government renounced all Russia's privileges in Manchuria, but retained its special rights over this line, and a long series of "incidents" and diplomatic negotiations with Japan followed. Finally, the U.S.S.R. has sold its rights in the railway to the new state of Manchukuo.


The map also shows the network of railways in Manchukuo which have been added by the Japanese to the two original trunk lines—the Chinese Eastern, and the South Manchurian (Port Arthur-Mukden-Chang-chun).



THE BREAK-UP OF CHINA


THE LAST THREE-QUARTERS of a century has seen the steady disintegration of the oldest civilised state in the world—China. The process began during the latter half of the 19th century with pressure by Britain, France, and Russia on her outlying territories. Then, just before the end of the century, Japan began that process of absorption of the mainland territories in the north-east which still continues; first Korea, then Manchuria, and more recently the northern provinces of China proper and of eastern Inner Mongolia, have all come under Japanese domination.

The Nanking Government, now recognised by the outside world as the Government of China, exercises effective control over the central coastal provinces and the lower Yang-tse Valley; and its influence is now spreading to the southern provinces around Canton, previously controlled by a Council which was the heir of Sun-Yat-Sen's original National Government. West of these coastal areas, the provinces of the interior are largely governed by soviets of peasants and workers (customarily described as "bandits" by their opponents). How far many of these are inspired by definitely Communist ideas is doubtful, but they undoubtedly represent genuine revolts of the common people against warlords and landlords.



THE NANKING GOVERNMENT

THE NANKING GOVERNMENT, under Chiang Kaishek, is based upon the central provinces north and south of the Yang-tse. The old capital of the Manchu Dynasty, Peking, in the north, now lies within the Japanese sphere of influence. Chiang Kai-shek's power was originally based on Canton, in the south, which had been the centre of Sun-Yat-Sen's republican government. Chiang Kai-shek made use of the Chinese revolutionary proletarian movement until he felt himself strong enough to dispense with it. His government is a military dictatorship with some constitutional modifications, and the territories over which it exercises control include the most industrially developed areas of China. The completion of the Hankow-Canton railway has made contact between the Yang-tse zone and the south much easier




THE NEW RUSSIA

A WORLD FACTOR of the first importance to-day is the new industrialised Russia which the Soviet Government has set itself to build up. Pre-war Russia was predominantly a land of peasants. Technically the country was a century behind the rest of Europe. The revolutionary government set itself from the outset to alter this, and the Five-Year Plan was the first instance in world history of a scheme on a colossal scale for the unified organisation and development of the entire resources of a nation.

The map gives in simplified form the main economic facts of European Russia. The four chief industrial regions are (1) the Ukraine, based on the Donetz coalfield and the iron of Krivoi Rog, and containing the great electric power station of Dnieprostroi; (2) the central (Moscow) area, with mining and manufactures; (3) the Ural region, the minerals of which are being developed in conjunction with the Kusnetz coalfield in western Siberia (f. map 48); and (4) the Leningrad manufacturing area. The black earth region is, of course, the richest agricultural area. The oilfields of the Caucasus are also shown.

European Russia is divided into twelve economic regions, the administrative centres of which are marked.


¹ See also map 8.



POLITICAL DIVISIONS OF THE NEW RUSSIA

The New Russia is not only interesting economically. As a federation of partly autonomous states it is a political experiment on a gigantic scale. The Soviet Government has had to solve a minorities problem of extraordinary complexity. The 1926 census lists 174 different races who are citizens of the U.S.S.R. The total population is now estimated at between 160 and 170 million, more than three-quarters of whom live in the European area.


The Union consists of seven main Federated Republics, which include many smaller republics and autonomous districts. These are (1) the R.S.F.S.R. (Russian Soviet Federated Socialist Republics), including most of the European area and nearly all Siberia; (2) the White Russian Republic (on the western European frontier); (3) the Ukrainian Republic; (4) the Transcaucasian Federated Republics; (5) Tajikistan; (6) Uzbekistan, and (7) Turkmenistan—these last three in Asia.



POLITICAL DIVISIONS OF EUROPEAN RUSSIA

THREE OF THE MAIN Federated Republics of the U.S.S.R. lie along the western and south-western borders of European Russia: the White Russian Republic, the Ukrainian Republic, and the Transcaucasian Federation of Republics (Georgia, Armenia and Azerbaijan).

The remainder of Russian European territory forms part of the R.S.F.S.R. (see previous map). It includes autonomous republics like the Crimea, the Karelian Republic and the German Republic of the Volga. Some of these republics contain smaller autonomous areas, the whole organisation of the Soviet state aiming at a maximum of cultural independence combined with rigid unification of economic organisation.




THE CAUCASUS

THE CAUGASUS AREA, between the Black Sea and the Caspian, is of enormous economic importance to Russia by reason of its oilfields. The civil war which followed the 1917 revolution lasted in this area until 1921. Thenceforward the Soviet Government set itself to meet the complicated nationalist demands of its inhabitants by an elaborate patchwork of autonomous republics and districts.

The political divisions are: (1) the Northern Caucasus, a "region" of the R.S.F.S.R., with a number of small autonomous divisions (cf. map) on its southern border; (2) the autonomous republic of Dagestan, on the Caspian coast; and (3) the Transcaucasian Federation, consisting of the republics of Georgia (capital, Tiflis), Armenia (capital, Erivan) and Azerbaijan (capital, Baku). Each of these three again includes one or two autonomous regions.


HA



WESTERN SIBERIA AND TURKESTAN

ONE OF THE BIG FEATURES of Russia's economic plan is the development of western Siberia and its linking with the European industrial regions. The Kusnetz coal basin, though its working has as yet barely begun, is estimated to contain some 450 billion tons—six times as much as the Donetz field in the Ukraine. It is to be closely linked with the Ural mineral and industrial area.


South of this area is Turkestan, now sub-divided into Kazakstan and various smaller republics (g. next map). This region has been connected with Siberia by the Turk-Sib railway, which follows the old caravan route from Tashkent northwards. It is the longest line constructed in the world during recent years.



NATIONALITIES IN CENTRAL ASIA

TURKESTAN was added to the Russian Empire in the second half of the 19th century. It was this expansion of the Czarist power, touching Afghanistan and approaching the north-western frontier of India, which made the "Russian Bear" the bogey of all good British patriots towards the end of the century. Civil warfare after the revolution did not end in this region until 1924.


The political divisions are now: The Kazakstan republic, largely peopled by nomad Kirghiz; the autonomous region of the Kara-Kalpaks; and the republic of Turkmenistan, inhabited by Mohammedan Turkomans; Uzbekistan, a cotton-producing area with the largest population of the area and the three most important cities of Central Asia—Tashkent, Samarkand and Bokhara; Tajikistan, a mountainous region—in its eastern part are the Pamirs, the "roof of the world"; and Kirghizia, a land of cattle-breeders.



CENTRAL ASIAN FRONTIERS AND AFGHANISTAN

Afghanistan is the mountain country separating Asiatic Russia from northern India. Though, as the map shows, it is a country without railways, railway lines lead up to its frontiers north and south. On the Russian side the Central Asian railway has two branches running southward, from Merv and from a point west of Samarkand. On the Indian side the strategic railways of the N.W. Frontier and Baluchistan everywhere stop short of Afghanistan. Schemes for linking the two systems by lines running across Afghanistan, one through Herat and Kandahar, and the second through Kabul to Peshawar, have so far broken down through Russian-British jealousy.


Present British relations with Afghanistan are summarised in a treaty (1921) which recognised the full sovereignty of Afghanistan, with certain British restrictions on special privileges to Russia, and provided for the passage of arms and munitions to Afghanistan through India.



FAR EASTERN RUSSIA

The far east of the Siberian territories of the U.S.S.R. is now politically divided into the Yakutsk republic, the largest in area, most sparsely populated, and least explored; and the Far Eastern Area, which includes the whole coast-line from the Arctic Ocean down to the port of Vladivostok, with Kamchatka and the northern part of the island of Sakhalin (the southern half of which is Japanese). Its administrative centre and military base is Khabarovsk, on the Amur river. So far—except in Sakhalin, where both coal and oil are worked—little has been done to exploit the known mineral wealth of the region.

It is this area, of course, whose security is threatened by the Japanese hold on Manchukuo. Its one link with the rest of Russia is the Trans-Siberian railway (cf. maps 40 and 41). The second Five-Year Plan includes schemes for extensive railway building in the Far Eastern Area, and the construction of a new port above Vladivostok.




THE CROSS-ROADS OF THE FAR EAST: SINGAPORE

SINGAPORE, Britain's chief naval base in the Far East, stands at the western gateway to the Pacific Ocean—as Panama stands at its eastern entrance. The trade routes from India and Ceylon to China and Japan run through the Straits of Malacca, between the Malay Peninsula and the island of Sumatra (see also next map). When Sir Stamford Raffles annexed Singapore for Britain in 1819 he wrote—"It gives us the command of China and Japan, with Siam and Cambodia, to say nothing of the islands themselves."

The great naval dockyard now under construction there, at an estimated cost of £9,000,000, is to be completed in 1939. Naval experts regard it as essential for the protection of Australia and New Zealand, as well as of Hong-Kong and British commercial interests in China. Japan, on the other hand, naturally regards the fortification of Singapore as a menace to her expansion in Asia.

Most foreign commentators on international affairs assume that there is an understanding between Holland and Britain regarding the use of Singapore in case of any attack on the Dutch East Indies.




BRITISH MALAYA

British interest in the Malay Peninsula (which divides the Indian Ocean from the Pacific) began when the East India Company established a station at Penang before the end of the 18th century. A few years later Malacca, originally a Portuguese trading station, was taken over from the Dutch, and Stamford Raffles founded a British settlement at Singapore. British rule now extends over the whole coast stations—the Straits Settlements—forming one British Crown Colony, while the four Federated Malay States are subject to British suzerainty though ruled by nominally independent sultans, and the others are under British protection, with British advisers.

The extension of British control over the interior of the peninsula was due to the discovery, some 60 years ago, of rich tin deposits. At the beginning of the present century the production of rubber was commenced on a large scale and the result has been an industrial revolution which has put Malaya well into the modern world picture. Large numbers of Chinese and Indian workers were brought into the country for the mines and plantations, and these now outnumber the Malays. The hinterland of Singapore is therefore of very considerable economic importance, quite apart from the strategic value of the port itself.

¹ One of these, the Dindings, was retroceded to Perak, a Federated State, February 1935.




THE PROBLEM OF THE INDIAN STATES

Most people, until the actual discussion of schemes of Indian self-government brought the question of the Indian States to the fore, vaguely assumed that India was uniformly under British control. Actually, of course, as the discussions have emphasised, the Indian peninsula is divided into British India, directly administered by the British Government of India, and a number of States, some of which enjoy full sovereign rights, others being subject to the "advisory jurisdiction" of the Government, while many smaller ones possess some degree of internal independence limited by a considerable measure of Government interference.

British India includes practically all the coast territories, as well as the valleys of the Ganges and the Indus. The States vary enormously not only in status and powers but in size, Kashmir and Hyderabad having areas of 84,000 and 82,000 square miles and populations of 3 million and 11½ million respectively, while many of the smaller States comprise only a few acres.


N.B. In the map Nepal is shown within the Indian frontier. Actually it and the neighbouring small Buddhist State of Bhutan are independent allied states, both of which have agreed to conduct their external relations by the advice of the Government of India.



INDIA: THE COMMUNAL PROBLEM

THEPROBLEM of religious minorities is another of the complications which the framers of a new constitution for India have had to face. Whether it is as complex as the opponents of self-government have always insisted may be open to question. Indian nationalists assert that it is a difficulty which would rapidly solve itself if Indians were left to look after their own affairs. The centre and south of the peninsula (cf. map) is predominantly Hindu. The great mass of Mahommedans are found in the north-west, in the Punjab and Sind, while another Mahommedan area is in lower Bengal. In the Punjab also are the Sikhs. Buddhism, which had its birthplace in India, is now only dominant over the frontier to the north-east in Tibet, and in Ceylon in the south. Indian nationalists, both Hindu and Mahommedan, are opposed to communal electorates, which, so they argue, would accentuate religious differences by making them into permanent political divisions.

IA 121



BURMA: SIAM

Burma, although in tradition and culture a quite separate nation from India, was by the Government of India Act (1919) administered as part of British India. The rise and development of the Nationalist movement in India, however, has coincided with the growth in Burma of a separationist party, and by the new India Bill (1935) Burma henceforth is governed by a separate administration.


Geographically Burma is cut off from India by sea, mountain and jungle. Its land frontiers form a practically impassable barrier and its communications with India are by sea across the Bay of Bengal, Rangoon being 700 miles from Calcutta and 1,000 miles from Madras.

SIAM, lying between Burma and French Indo-China, has during the past few years been the scene of various political upheavals, culminating in the abdication of King Prajadhipok (March 1935). It appears highly probable that these political changes are the result of the extensive economic penetration of Siam by Japan. Siamese foreign trade was up to a few years ago dominated by Great Britain and Singapore, but between 1931 and 1934 Japanese exports to Siam increased more than 500 per cent. It has been rumoured that Japan is ready to offer financial help to Siam for a canal across the Kra isthmus (see map) which would threaten the naval and economic dominance of Singapore.



TIBET

 ${f T}$ ibet's geographical position in relation to India's northern frontier makes her government a matter of considerable concern to Britain. In 1903-4 -at the time when the designs of Czarist Russia were still regarded in Britain with fear and suspicionthe British Government despatched a military expedition to the forbidden city of Lhasa and compelled the Tibetan Government to recognise special British interests. After the Chinese revolution (1911) China's suzerainty over Tibet was again asserted; but the recent breakdown of government in China enabled Britain, by negotiations with the late Dalai Lama, to consolidate her influence. The spiritual head of Tibetan Buddhism, the Tashi Lama, who took over the Regency a year or two ago, had for some years been exiled in China, and his return to Tibet may be the signal for the renewal of the demand for Tibetan independence.




INDEPENDENT STATES IN AFRICA

Since the great "scramble for Africa" took place during the last two decades of the 19th century, the whole of the continent, with the exception of two areas, has been divided between the European Powers. The two states retaining some measure of independence—in each case strictly limited—are Egypt and Liberia.


Ever since, in 1875, the British Government bought the Khedive's shares in the Suez Canal, the condition of affairs in Egypt has been a matter of primary concern to British Governments. On the outbreak of war in 1914 the nominal Turkish suzerainty over Egypt was ended and a British protectorate established. After the War self-government was granted, but with important reservations. The Sudan remained under British control, British garrisons were to be maintained in the Canal Zone and at Cairo and Alexandria, and Egypt's foreign policy was to be guided by British interests. A new treaty (1936) made in response to persistent Egyptian demands has resulted in some modification of these conditions.

For Liberia, see map 64.



GERMANY'S LOST POSSESSIONS IN AFRICA


By the post-war Treaties all the German colonies in Africa were handed over, for the most part as mandates, to the victorious Powers. Togoland was divided between Britain and France, the British (western portion) now being administered with the Gold Coast. A small area of the Cameroons adjoining Nigeria went to Britain; the larger part went to France, part of it as a mandated territory, while the southern part was definitely ceded, becoming part of French Equatorial Africa. German East Africa, with the exception of a small area in the north-west which was added to the Belgian Congo, went to Britain, being re-named Tanganyika Territory. German South-West Africa, conquered during the War by the forces of the Union of South Africa, was handed over by mandate to the Union Government. The latter has taken steps to deal with Nazi organisations and propaganda in the territory.




BRITAIN IN AFRICA

THE PRESENT CENTURY has seen a revolt on the part of various Asiatic peoples against European domination. There are many signs that that revolt will spread to Africa in the near future, and the spirit in which the various Colonial Powers handle the "native problem" will doubtless decide what form that revolt takes.

British possessions are spread over the length and breadth of the continent, presenting differing problems in different areas. British Africa may be conveniently classified (cf. map) into: (1) West Africa-Nigeria, and West Coast Colonies-where the main policy followed is that of Indirect Rule. There are no white settlers in this region. (2) East Africa, including Uganda, Kenya, Tanganyika and Nyasaland. In Tanganyika and Uganda an enlightened native policy prevails. In Kenya the presence of a considerable number of white settlers has complicated the problem (cf. later map). (3) South Africa, the territories included in the Union of South Africa. a self-governing dominion, with a native policy based on an absolute denial of the equality of races. Between South and East Africa lie the Rhodesias (cf. next map); and north of the East African territories, though geographically quite separate from them, is the British Sudan.






THE SOUTH AFRICAN PROTECTORATES

When the Union of South Africa was constituted in 1909 three British protectorates—Bechuanaland (not to be confused with British Bechuanaland, part of Cape territory), Basutoland, and Swaziland, remained directly under the control of the home government. The largest of them, Bechuanaland, lies along the northern frontier of the Union. The two smaller ones are enclaves in Union territory. All three have suffered badly from administrative neglect during recent years, their treatment comparing very unfavourably with that of the West African colonies or Tanganyika.


The Union Government has made a demand for the transfer of the three territories to itself, basing its claim on a clause in the Act of Union which implied the inclusion of the Protectorates in the Union at some future date. Native opinion in the Protectorates is firmly opposed to the idea of transfer. The British Government has compromised by refusing actual transfer, but promising "closer economic co-operation" between the administration of the territories and the Union.



BRITISH EAST AFRICA

As has been already noted, Tanganyika Territory, since Britain took over the mandate, has been administered on enlightened lines and is, in the Africa of to-day, a model of what alien rule can be. The history of Kenya Colony has been less fortunate. The building of the Uganda railway, connecting Uganda with the coast of Mombasa, led to the realisation that in the highlands in the west of Kenya white settlement was possible. This area comprises almost all the good land in the colony. The natives have been pushed out of it and placed in reserves, which even now are inadequate and must become more so as the population increases. The most recent happening in Kenya has been the discovery of gold within the Kavirondo native reserve near Lake Victoria. The Government's promise to the natives that on no account would the reserves be further encroached upon was promptly broken, and many square miles of territory thrown open to white concession hunters. The incident is of far more than local importance inasmuch as in every part of Africa to-day Africans are watching with critical eyes the behaviour of their white rulers.


KA 137



LIBERIA

 ${
m T}$ HE COLONY OF LIBERIA was founded in 1816, mainly through the efforts of the American Colonisation Society with the aim of settling free American negroes on African soil. In 1847 these negro colonists made a declaration of independence and established a republic. Civilisation, however, has never extended into the interior. Of the 50,000 more or less civilised inhabitants of the coastal region some 12,000 are of American origin. The population of the interior, variously estimated as from three-quarters of a million to 14 millions, has been on occasion treated by its fellow African rulers in a way which can perhaps best be summarised as being a good imitation of the worst methods of white exploiters in other parts of the continent. Recent happenings led to a League of Nations enquiry and to a plan involving supervision of the Liberian Government by representatives of the League.


The United States has special interests in Liberia. In 1918 it advanced a loan to the republic and appointed a financial adviser. The economic resources of Liberia, moreover, are now largely mortgaged to the Firestone Rubber Co., of America, whose concession covers one million acres of land. There seems little doubt that the operations of the company, enforcing the plantation system on Liberia, has been in part responsible for the evils which the League of Nations plan seeks to eradicate.



THE NEGRO PROBLEM IN THE UNITED STATES

 ${
m T}$ HE UNITED STATES has a minorities problem of its own to face, and it can hardly be said at the moment that the problem is growing easier. There are close on 12 million negroes in the United States, rather more than 10 per cent of the total population. The greatest concentration is, of course, in the southern states of the cotton belt. In only one state, Mississippi, are the negroes now in an absolute majority, but in several others they constitute not much less than half of the population. In South Carolina, for example, which in 1920 had 55 per cent negro population, the figure had fallen to just under 50 per cent in 1930; and there are areas in all the southern states, including Texas, where the negroes form a majority. The 1930 census figures show a small decline in the rural negro population of these states, a decrease doubtless due to the northward migration of negroes which began during the War.


Racial feeling in the U.S., despite some signs to the contrary, cannot be said to be growing less bitter. It is, indeed, somewhat difficult to distinguish between the anti-Semitism of Hitler's Germany and the negrophobia of a great part of the United States.



THE UNITED STATES AND THE CARIBBEAN


 ${f F}$ ROM THE TIME of the war with Spain (1898) United States penetration in the Caribbean area the islands of the West Indies and the smaller states of Central America-steadily proceeded. After the Spanish War the island of Porto Rico was annexed, and Cuba became virtually an American protectorate. The new republic of Panama was brought under "general supervision" in 1903, the United States obtaining permanent rights in the Canal Zone. Intervention in Haiti in 1915 led to a supervision of Haitian finances; and in the neighbouring negro republic of Santo Domingo a receivership, amounting to a protectorate, was instituted. In 1016 Nicaragua became a virtual protectorate, granting exclusive rights in a hypothetical canal to the United States. In 1917 certain of the Virgin Islands were purchased from Denmark.

The special United States sphere in the islands is flanked north and south (cf. map) by British possessions—the Bahamas and Jamaica.



CUBA


By the Platt Amendment (abrogated, May 1934) the United States established a protectorate over the island of Cuba after the Spanish-American War. The recent Cuban revolution was in part a nationalist rising against United States domination; but Cuba's fundamental grievances are economic. The island's staple product is sugar, and it has been the rigid limitation of its exports to the United States, in the interests of the American sugar beet industry, which has destroyed the foundations of Cuba's economic life.



PANAMA AND NICARAGUA

The Spanish-American War had made clear the urgent necessity of an inter-oceanic canal through the central American peninsula unless the United States was to keep a battle fleet in both the Pacific and Atlantic Oceans. Panama, formerly a province of the republic of Colombia, declared its independence on November 3rd, 1903. It was recognised ten days later by the United States Government, which five days later signed a Treaty with the new republic providing facilities for the construction of the Panama Canal. The Canal Zone, which extends five miles on each side of the canal, was granted in perpetuity to the United States.


In 1916, the Panama Canal having already proved inadequate for the sea traffic which used it, the United States Government signed a Treaty with Nicaragua giving it the option for a canal route (cf. map), and a naval base on both the Atlantic and Pacific coasts. No work has ever been started on the scheme, as it was estimated that the total cost would be 700 million dollars, and that a third set of locks on the Panama Canal could be constructed for a fifth of that sum. American marines, who had been in occupation for a considerable period, evacuated Nicaragua in January 1933.



RIVAL INTERESTS IN THE PACIFIC

HAWAII, the half-way house of the Pacific, was annexed by the United States in 1898. A few months later the Philippine Islands and Guam Island were taken over and the United States had become a Pacific Power. After the Great War, the German Pacific islands north of the Equator (cf. previous maps) were handed over as mandates to Japan. This brought the Japanese sphere directly across the main lines of communication between Panama and the Pacific ports of the United States and her far eastern possessions. Naval experts have opined that the U.S. Navy could not operate effectively more than 1,000 miles away from Hawaii.


The measure of Philippine independence granted by the U.S. involves some American control until 1945-6. Whether American withdrawal will not sooner or later mean Japanese intervention seems scarcely doubtful. Japanese dominance in this area would presumably be regarded by Britain with some apprehension, since it would bring a powerful rival much closer to Hong-Kong, Singapore and the oil of the Dutch East Indies.



"YANQUI IMPERIALISMO" IN LATIN AMERICA

United States penetration in the Caribbean area has been watched with considerable suspicion by the Latin American Republics. The Panama Canal has, moreover, brought the states of the Pacific coast thousands of miles nearer to the eastern industrial area of the United States; and the U.S. has tended to re-interpret the Monroe Doctrine as giving to itself exclusive rights of intervention in South America.

Latin America is a main battleground of British and American commercial and financial interests. The battle is fiercest in the Argentine, commercially the most developed of the South American countries. A British Treaty with the Argentine (1933) gave various commercial advantages to Britain; but over the whole of the continent between 1913 and 1927 Britain's share of the total South American imports dropped from 25 per cent to 16 per cent, while that of the United States rose from 24 per cent to 38 per cent.




THE BOLIVIA-PARAGUAY WAR

The war between the two inland states of South America—Bolivia and Paraguay—which began in 1932, was ended by an armistice signed on June 12th, 1935. The area in dispute was the Gran Chaco territory. Bolivia, cut off by the Andes from easy access to the Pacific, desires direct water communication down the Paraguay and Parana rivers to the Atlantic seaboard. Paraguay, on the other hand, claims a considerable area of Bolivian territory. Moreover, oil has been discovered in the Chaco; which makes its possession of some importance.

At one time Bolivia laid claim to the Tacna-Arica area (see map) which would have given her direct communication with the Pacific coast; but by the agreement of 1929 this area was divided between Peru and Chile.

LA




BOLIVIA

OF ALL the countries of the New World, Bolivia ranks second only to the United States and Mexico in mineral wealth. She produces a quarter of the tin output of the world. Next to China she is the world's chief source of antimony. Silver and lead are also mined. Her exports must go across Chilean territory to the Pacific ports of Arica and Antofagasta. Her other possible outlet, via the Paraguay river to the Atlantic, is the present cause of her war with Paraguay. The majority of Bolivia's population is native Indian. A recent writer has remarked that "a raw material of great importance to modern industry and a primitive, poverty-stricken and inarticulate native population are the two basic facts of Bolivian economic life."



NATIONALITIES IN SOUTH AMERICA

Europeans usually think of South America as including only one colonial area, that of Guiana, divided between British, Dutch and French; but in four of the South American republics—Colombia, Ecuador, Peru and Bolivia—the native Indians form a majority of the population and, these all being mining countries, a source of degradingly cheap labour power. In Venezuela and Guiana negroes and mulattos constitute a majority. In Brazil the proportion of white and Indian inhabitants is about equal and there is also a very considerable mulatto population. Only in the four republics of the temperate zone in the south are the native races in a relatively small minority.



NEWFOUNDLAND

The Report of a Royal Commission (November, 1933) recommended that the dominion of Newfoundland should lose its representative institutions for an indefinite period of years, its administration to be taken over by a Commission appointed by the British Government. This course was necessitated by the bankruptcy of the Newfoundland Government, and the British Treasury had to take over in order to avoid Newfoundland default on debt payments.

The principal industry of the island, which has a population of a quarter of a million, is fishing, and there are considerable timber resources. The Labrador coast has always been administered by the Newfoundland Government. The actual boundary between Labrador and the province of Quebec, in Canada, was fixed by the Privy Council in 1927. The population of Labrador in 1931 was 4,264.

to places named in maps

to places named in maps

					Map No.	Pages
Abyssinia					31, 32, 34, 58	72, 74, 78, 126
Aden .					29, 31, 34	68, 72, 78
Adriatic .			•		9, 11, 13, 14	28, 32, 36, 38
Aegean .					14, 18, 19, 28	38, 46, 48, 66
Afghanistan	. •			•	48-50	106-110
Africa .				٠	26, 30, 31, 58-64	62, 70, 72, 126-
Africa, Britis	h				59-63	128-136
Africa, Frenc	:h				26, 30, 59	62, 70, 128
Africa, Italia	n				26, 31	62, 72
Africa, South	1-W	/est			59	128
Albania .					13, 14, 18	36, 38, 46
Alsace .	•				T O O	12, 14, 16
America .					65-74	140-158
America, Sou	ıth				70-73	150-156
Amur, R.					41, 51	92, 112
Arabia .					27, 29, 33, 34	64, 68, 76, 78
Argentine					70, 73	150, 156
					71, 72	152, 154
Armenia					47	104
		•			24	58
Australia .					52, 69	114, 148
Austria .					3, 9-12, 20, 22	16, 28–34, 50, 54
Azerbaijan	•					104
						•
Bahamas.					66, 67	142, 144
Baku .					32, 44, 47	74, 98, 104
Baltic .					5, 6	20, 22
Baltic States						20, 22, 52
Banat .						34, 40, 44
Barcelona					24	58
Basques					-	58
Basutoland .					62	134
Bechuanaland	ł				62	134
Belgium					1, 2, 25	12, 14, 60
Bessarabia .					5, 8, 17	20, 26, 44
					J, -, -,	,, -4

					Map No.	Pages
Bolivia .		•			71-73	152-156
Borneo .					52	114
Brazil .					73	156
Brenner .	•				10	30
Briey .					3	16
Bukovina					8, 17	26, 44
Bulgaria .	•				17, 18, 20	44, 46, 50
Burgenland					12	34
Buriat Rep.		•			40, 51	90, 112
Burma .					52, 56	114, 122
CAMEROONS	•			•	30, 59	70, 128
Canton .	•		•		39, 42	88, 94
Caribbean					,	142, 146
Caroline Is.					38, 69	86, 148
Catalonia	•	•	•	٠	24	58
Caucasus			•		32, 44, 47	74, 98, 104
Czechoslovak	ria	•	•	•	1, 3, 4, 8, 9, 11,	12, 16, 18, 26, 28,
CT ''					12, 16, 20-22	32, 34, 42, 50-54
Chile .	•	•	•	•	71-73	152-156
China .	•	• D:	•	•	37-43	84-96
Chinese East						92
	•		•	•	· ·	156
Congo, Belgi					61	132
Corridor, Th	le	•	•		1, 4	12, 18
Crete	•	•	•	•		62
Croatia .				•	12, 15	34, 40
Cuba .		•	•		66, 67	142, 144
Cyprus .	•	•	•	•	26-28	62–66
_						
DAGESTAN	•	•	•	•	47	104
Dalmatia	•	•	•	•	13, 15	36, 40
Danube .		•	•	•	9, 11, 12, 14, 16– 18, 21, 22	28, 32, 34, 38, 42- 46, 52, 54
Danzig .		•	•	•		12, 16, 18
Dedeagach		•		•	18	46
Dnieprostroi		•	•	•	, 11	26, 98
Dobrudja		•	•	•	17, 18	44, 46
Dodecanese		•	•	•	19	48
Donetz .	٠	•	•	•	8, 44	26, 98

			Map No.	Pages
Drin R			14	38
Dutch East India	s.		37, 52, 69	84, 114, 148
_				
		•	73	156
071		٠	26, 27, 58	62, 64, 126
•		•	22	54
		•	31, 32, 34	72, 74, 78
Estonia		•	5, 6, 21	20, 22, 52
Eupen		•	I	12
T				
		•		20, 52
			9, 13, 14	28, 36, 38
			38, 52	86, 114
		٠	2, 3, 26, 30	14, 16, 62, 70
Fukien		•	42, 43	94, 96
GDYNIA			4	18
		•	4	=
Georgia				104
Germany .			1–4, 10	12–18, 30
German Rep. of	Volga	٠	· -	102
Gibraltar .	• •	•	26	62
Gran Chaco .	• •	•	71	152
Greece		٠	14, 19, 20, 26	38, 48, 50, 62
Guiana		•	73	156
Guiana, British			66, 73	142, 156
T				
TT 1.1	• •	•		74, 80
		•	66, 67	142, 144
		•	43	96
		•	69	148
•		•	² 7, 33	64, 76
			2, 25	14, 60
			37-39, 42, 52	84–88, 94, 114
Hungary .			9, 11, 12, 16, 20,	
			22	
India		_	29, 50, 54-57	68, 110, 118-124
Indo-China, Free				84
~		•	(See Persia)	04
-	• •		•	64-68 74-78 90
	• •		27-29, 32-34, 36	64-68, 74-78, 82
~ .		•		56
Italy	• •	٠	9, 10, 13, 20, 26	28, 30, 36, 50, 62

				Map No.	Pages
JAMAICA				66, 6 7	142, 144
Japan	•	•		37-41, 51, 69	84-92, 112, 148
Jibuti	•			32, 34	74, 78
Katanga .				61	132
Kavirondo .	•			63	136
Kazakstan .	•			46, 48, 49	102, 106, 104
Kenya				60, 63	130, 136
Kirghiz Rep.	•			49	108
Kirkuk				32, 34	74, 78
Korea				38-41	86-92
Krivoi Rog .				8	26
Kurds	•			27	64
Kusnetz				48	106
LABRADOR .				74	158
Latvia				5, 6, 20, 21	20, 22, 50, 52
Leningrad .				5, 6, 22, 44	20, 22, 54, 98
Libau				6	22
Liberia				58, 64	126, 138
Libya				31	72
Lithuania .				1, 4-7, 20, 21	12, 18–24, 50, 52
Little Entente				II	32
Lorraine .				r - 3	12-16
Luxembourg				2, 3	14, 16
Macedonia .				18, 19	46, 48
Malacca, Strai		•	•	53	116
Malay Peninsu		•	•	53	116
Malmédy .			•	I	12
Malta	٠	•	•	26	62
Manchukuo .	•	•	•		84–92, 112
Marshall Is	•	•			86, 148
Mediterranean			•	26, 29	62, 68
Memel Land			•	1, 3, 4, 6	12, 16, 18, 22
Mongolia .			٠	37, 40, 57	84, 90, 124
Mongolia, Inn	er	•	•		90, 92
	•	•	•	26, 30	62, 70
	•	•		4.4	98
Mosul	٠	•	•	32, 34	74, 78

					Map No.	Pages
Nanking .	•	٠	•	•	3 9 , 4 2 , 43	88, 94, 96
Nejd .	•	٠		•	33	76
Newfoundlar		•	•	•	74	158
Nicaragua	•	٠	•	•		142, 146
Nyasaland	•	•	•	•	61	132
ODER .		_			22	54
	•	•	•	•		51
PACIFIC .					66, 68-70	142, 146–150
Palestine .					26, 27, 32, 35	62, 64, 74, 80
Pamirs .					50	110
Panama .					66, 68-70	142, 146–150
Paraguay					71-73	152–156
Perim Is.			•		34	78
Persia .					29, 32, 36, 50	68, 74, 82, 110
Persian Gulf					29, 32, 33, 36	68, 74, 76, 82
Peru .				•	73	156
Philippines					37, 38, 52, 69	84, 86, 114, 148
Poland .	•	•	•	•	1, 4, 5, 7–9, 16, 20, 21	12, 18, 20, 24-28,
Port Arthur	_		_		38, 40	42, 50, 52 86, 90
Porto Rico					66	142
Portugal .				:		58
Prussia, East			•	:	1, 7	12, 24
		•	•	•	-, /	,1
Red Sea					29, 31, 33, 34	68, 72, 76, 78
Reval					6	22
Rhine					2, 3, 22	14, 16, 54
Rhineland					2	14
Rhodes .					19, 31	48, 72
Rhodesia				-	60, 61	130, 132
Riga .				-	6	22
Ruhr .					2	14
Rumania	•	•	٠	•	5, 8, 9, 11, 12, 17,	20, 26, 28, 32, 34, 44, 50
Russia .					(See U.S.S.R.)	11) 3°
Ruthenia		•	•	•	16	42
Saar .					7-0	12-16
Sahara .	•	•	•	•	1-3	70
Sakhalin	:	•	•	•	30 38, 51	86, 112
	•	•	•	•	2~, 3*	00, 112

		Mah No.	Pages
Salonika		14, 18	38, 46
Santo Domingo .		66, 67	142, 144
Save, R		14	38
Scheldt		25	60
Schleswig		r	12
Shanghai		37, 39, 42, 43	84, 88, 94, 96
Shantung		38, 43	86, 96
Siam		56	122
Siberia		48	106
Siberia, Far Easter	n.	51	112
Silesia		1, 4, 16	12, 18, 42
Singapore		37, 52, 53	84, 114, 116
Smyrna		19, 28	48 _x 66
Somaliland, French	h.	31, 34	72,78
Somaliland, Italia	1.	31, 32	72,74
South Africa, Unio			130, 134
Spain		24	58
Straits Settlements	٠.	53	116
Straits, The		26, 28	62, 66
Sudan		. 29, 31, 32, 60	68, 72, 74, 130
Suez		. 26, 27, 29	62, 64, 68
Swaziland		. 62	134
Switzerland		. 22	54
Syria		• 34	78
•			
TACNA-ARICA .		. 71,72	152, 154
Tajik Rep		• 49	108
Tanganyika Terr		. 59, 63	128, 136
ma ·		. 30	70
Tel Aviv		• 35	80
Teschen		. 16	42
Thrace		. 19	48
		. 50, 57	110, 124
Togoland .		• 59	128
Transcaucasian l	Reps.	. 46, 47	102, 104
Transjordan .			64, 76, 80
Trans-Siberian I	Uy.	. 40, 41, 51	90, 92, 112
Transylvania		. 12, 17	34, 44
Trentino			28, 30
Trieste		. 9, 13	28, 36

	Map No.	Pages
Turkey	. 19, 26–28	48, 62-66
Turkestan, Chinese		108, 124
Turkmen Rep	49	108
	. 48	106
•	•	
UGANDA	. 63	136
Ukraine	3, 7, 8, 44, 46	16, 24, 26, 98, 102
Ukraine, Polish		24, 26
Ural Region		98, 106
	65, 66, 69, 70	140, 142, 148, 150
	65	140
	5, 7, 8, 26, 28, 32,	20, 24, 26, 62, 66,
<u> </u>	36-38, 44-51	74, 82-86, 98-112
Uzbek Rep	49	108
VALONA	13	36
X7. 1	14	38
Venezuela	73	156
	6, 7	22, 24
Virgin Is	66	142
	4, 22	18, 54
	38, 40, 41, 51	86, 90, 92, 112
, man, 00101	30, 40, 41, 31	00, 90, 92, 112
*** D D	•	
White Russian Rep.	46	102
YAKUTSK REP	51	112
Yang-tse	39, 42	88, 94
Yap Is	38	86
Yemen	31, 33, 34	72, 76, 78
	9, 11-15, 20	28, 32–40, 50
	J, J,	· / U= T=/U=
Zara		- 6
ZARA	13	36

and the second s	3
- x - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2	12000.
Cides Inc.	168 G.3
Book No.	1 10